IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA,	0.4000040
	Plaintiff,) 8:12CR248)
	vs.)) DETENTION ORDER
DALE FELIX,		
	Defendant.))
A.	Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursual Act on August 27, 2012, the Court or pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform ders the above-named defendant detained
B.	conditions will reasonably assure X By clear and convincing evidence	
C.	contained in the Pretrial Services Repor X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: the methamphetamine (C) carries a minimum s maximum of forty yea (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a minimum service.	f the offense charged: possession with intent to distribute count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) entence of five years imprisonment and a rs imprisonment. e of violence.
	may affect wh The defendar X The defendar X The defendar The defendar ties. Past conduct X The defendar Court proceed	nt appears to have a mental condition which nether the defendant will appear. In that no family ties in the area. In that no steady employment. In that no substantial financial resources. In the does not have any significant community of the defendant: In that a history relating to drug abuse. In that a significant prior criminal record. In that a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		ease pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of tence.
	(c) Other Factor	
		e defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
		ortation. defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to
		ortation if convicted.
		Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement
		CE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
	Oth	er:
Χ	(4) The nature and s	eriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's
	release are as follow	ws: The nature of the charges in the Indictment and the
	substance abuse a	nd criminal history of the defendant.
Х	(5) Rebuttable Presu	mntions
		the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied
		ebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §
		Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
		ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		ppearance of the defendant as required and the safety
	of any other the crime inv	person and the community because the Court finds that
		A crime of violence; or
		An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
	(2)	imprisonment or death; or
	<u>X</u> (3)	A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
		penalty of 10 years or more; or
	(4)	A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
		or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
		above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for
		one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was
		committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
	X (b) That no co	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		ppearance of the defendant as required and the safety
		nunity because the Court finds that there is probable
	cause to bel	
	<u>X</u> (1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled
		substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
	(2)	10 years or more. That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
	(2)	U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and
		in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of
		violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
		if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous
		weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: August 27, 2012. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge